# **MARL-PPS:** Multi-agent Reinforcement Learning with Periodic **Parameter Sharing** UCLAVISIONLAB

Honda Research Institute US

Safa Cicek, Alireza Nakhaei, Stefano Soatto, Kikuo Fujimura

### **Motion planning on highways**



- An interaction-aware planning algorithm is expected to exhibit cooperative behavior.
- The red vehicle must maintain a predictive model of the green one for cooperative behaviour.

### Flow of an RL agent



### **Reward function**

• Intent-aware (interaction-unaware) reward for any agent:

$$r = -\lambda_{\text{collision}} I_{\text{collision}} + \lambda_{\upsilon} \frac{{\upsilon_0}^2}{(\upsilon - \upsilon^*)^2 + {\upsilon_0}^2} + \lambda_{\theta} \frac{\theta_0^2}{(\theta - \theta^*)^2 + {\theta_0}^2} + \lambda_{\text{jerk}} [\frac{\dot{\alpha}_0^2}{\dot{\alpha}^2 + \dot{\alpha}_0^2} + \frac{\dot{\omega}_0^2}{\dot{\omega}^2 + \dot{\omega}_0^2}]$$

• Interaction-aware reward for agent 1 when agents j=2,...,J are in the  $\mathbf{r}_{1,t}$ observation range of agent 1:

$$= r_{1,t} + \lambda_{\text{coop}} \sum_{j=2}^{J} r_{j,t}$$

target

### DQN

- Moving target problem in fitted Q-learning:

### **MARL-PPS**



- Key differences of the proposed algorithm from other DQN based algorithms are
  - The large periodic updates of the parameters of other agents.
  - Resetting of the replay buffer with the same period.

#### Results



- The RL algorithm gets two modes of inputs at every 0.2 sec. It takes the last 4 occupancy grids in its observation range in the form of binary matrices. All these grids are fed to the CNN.
- The last 4 ego-motion states of the vehicle are also given as input and fed to the fully connected layer for preprocessing.
- Outputs of the fully connected layer are concatenated with CNN outputs to be sent to the LSTM.
- The LSTM output is fed to another fully connected layer to get the Q-value estimates.
- Finally, the epsilon-greedy block chooses an action with 0.2 sec resolution.

# The highway simulator



### **DQN in MARL**

• Moving environment problem in MARL:

 $L(w_t) = \mathbb{E}_{(s,a,r,s') \sim U(D)}[(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s',a';w_t^-) - Q(s,a;w_t))^2]$ 

- The next state (s') is function of the actions of other agents. Thus, environment dynamics change as policies of other agents updated.
  - Policy of other agents: epsilon-greedy selection from their Q estimates.
  - Proposed solution: Update Q function of other agents with large periods.

# MARL in POMDP setting:

- In POMDP case,
  - Sample observation from the buffer Ο
  - Feed hidden layer of LSTM and observation to the Q Ο networks.



- Training curves for baselines and the proposed algorithm. The left plot shows the mean epoch rewards for different methods. The right plot is for the average speed of the agents.
- Baselines: Independent-DQN [Tampuu, 2017] and Synchronic-DQN [Gupta, 2017].
- In Independent-DQN, each agent updates its DQN policy with its own observations concurrently.
- In synchronic-DQN, one ego-agent updates its policy with its own observations and shares its parameters at every time step with others.
- MARL-PPS converges to a better solution benefiting from the stability of the training

### References

[1] Jayesh K Gupta, Maxim Egorov, and Mykel Kochenderfer. 2017. Cooperative multiagent control using deep reinforcement learning. In International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems. Springer, 66–83.



 $L(w_t) = \mathbb{E}_{(o,a,r,o') \sim U(D)}[(r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(o',h,a';w_t) - Q(o,h,a;w_t))^2]$ 

- Screenshots are from the highway simulator RL agents are trained on.
- The top panel is the scene with two vehicles (red and green rectangles) and a static obstacle to be avoided in the top lane (black rectangle).
- The bottom panel shows the observation of the red vehicle.

[2] Ardi Tampuu, Tambet Matiisen, Dorian Kodelja, Ilya Kuzovkin, Kristjan Korjus, Juhan Aru, Jaan Aru, and Raul Vicente. 2017. Multiagent cooperation and competition with deep reinforcement learning. PloS one 12, 4 (2017), e0172395.